arXiv:1105.5074v2 [hep-th] 4 Feb 2013

Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION

Scattering of spherically symmetric d–dimensional α'–corrected black holes in string theory

Filipe Moura

Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Minho,
Escola de Ciências, Campus de Gualtar,
4710-057 Braga, Portugal

`fmoura@math.uminho.pt`

Abstract: We study scattering of minimally coupled massless scalar fields by non–extremal spherically symmetric black holes in d dimensions with string–theoretical α' corrections. We then obtain a general formula for the low frequency absorption cross section for every black hole of this kind, which we apply to known black hole solutions. In each case we compare the results for the absorption cross section with the black hole entropy, obtained through Wald's formula.

Contents

1. Introduction and summary **2**

2. Basic setup: α'–corrected field equations in d dimensions **3**
- 2.1 Spherically symmetric α'–corrected black hole solutions 3
- 2.2 The field equation for minimally coupled scalars in the background of spherically symmetric black holes 4

3. Scattering of minimally coupled scalars by spherically symmetric α'–corrected non–extremal black holes in d dimensions **6**
- 3.1 Scattering close to the event horizon 7
- 3.2 Scattering at asymptotic infinity 8
- 3.3 Scattering in the intermediate region 9
- 3.4 Calculation of the absorption cross section 11
- 3.5 Discussion on dependence under field redefinitions 13

4. Application to concrete string-corrected black hole solutions **13**
- 4.1 The d–dimensional Callan–Myers–Perry black hole 13
- 4.2 The string-corrected dilatonic d–dimensional black hole 14
- 4.3 The double–charged d–dimensional black hole 15

5. Comparison with the entropy **17**

6. Discussion and future directions **18**

A. The α' corrections to the temperature **19**

B. An example: the potential for tensor–type gravitational perturbations with leading α' corrections **19**

1. Introduction and summary

Studying the scattering properties of black holes is a topic of current and future interest. Of particular importance is the study of the quantum mechanical rate of decay of black holes into a field quantum of a given frequency, which is given, up to a thermal factor, by the greybody factor, due to the effective potential created by the black hole outside its horizon. This greybody factor is, also up to a projection term, related to the cross section of absorption of an equivalent quantum field by the black hole.

The low frequency limit of the absorption cross section for minimally coupled scalar fields is equal to the area of the black hole horizon, a result which can also be extended to higher spin fields [1]. Equivalently, one can say that the low frequency cross section equals four times the Bekenstein–Hawking black hole entropy: $\sigma = 4GS$. Recently there has been a renewed interest in this cross section, also from the theoretical point of view, since this quantity is directly related to the shear viscosity η of the dual quark-gluon plasma, which according to the fluid–gravity correspondence [2, 3] behaves as a strongly coupled (and almost ideal) fluid. In this context it is worth mentioning the KSS bound [4], which states that for theories with a holographic dual the ratio η/s between the shear viscosity and the entropy density has a lower bound of $\frac{1}{4\pi}$. This bound can be saturated for boundary field theories in the limit of large 't Hooft coupling and number of colors.

The KSS bound was established in classical Einstein gravity (without higher order corrections). However, string theories require higher derivative corrections in α', the inverse string tension.

Such theories are dual to Einstein gravity (without corrections). However, more general computations in higher derivative gravity showed that the KSS bound can be violated, although the crucial sign of the coefficient in front of the higher derivative correction is in general undetermined. This suggests the need to study higher derivative corrections to η, and correspondingly to the absorption cross section σ [5].

Another motivation to study higher derivative corrections to the absorption cross section is the aforementioned relation $\sigma = 4GS$ which, like the KSS bound, was only established classically. It is important to check if and how such relation is maintained in the presence of higher derivative terms, namely string α' corrections. These are a few of the theoretical motivations which lead us to study α' corrections to the absorption cross section. But such study is interesting and important by its own, since gravitational wave astronomy is becoming an experimental reality which could allow for the detection and measurement of (small) string effects.

The first work to discuss the effects of leading α' corrections quadratic in the Riemann tensor in the absorption cross section of spherically symmetric black holes for generic d dimensions was article [7], but just dealing with a particular black hole solution. In this article we wish to perform such study for any d dimensional asymptotically flat spherically symmetric black hole with such corrections. We leave the asymptotically de Sitter/Anti de Sitter cases for a future work.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2 we present the α'–corrected action and field equations we are about to address and their generic spherically symmetric solution. In section 3 we solve the master equation in different regions of spacetime, using different approximations: close to the horizon, at asymptotic infinity and in the intermediate region. We present solutions, in closed form, of the master equation for these three regions. After matching these three different solutions, we are able to obtain a general formula for the α'–corrected low frequency absorption cross section. All we have been describing is performed for a generic spherically symmetric metric; in section 5, we apply our result to known metrics with α' corrections. We also compute the α'–corrected entropy, to be compared to the cross section. We end by discussing our results.

2. Basic setup: α'–corrected field equations in d dimensions

2.1 Spherically symmetric α'–corrected black hole solutions

The d–dimensional effective action with α' corrections we will be considering is given, in the Einstein frame, by

$$\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int\sqrt{-g}\left(\mathcal{R}-\frac{4}{d-2}\left(\partial^{\mu}\phi\right)\partial_{\mu}\phi+\lambda\ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{4}{d-2}(1+w)\phi}Y(\mathcal{R})\right)\mathrm{d}^{d}x. \tag{2.1}$$

Here, $Y(\mathcal{R})$ is a scalar polynomial in the Riemann tensor representing the leading higher derivative string corrections to the metric tensor field, and λ is, up to a numerical factor, the suitable power of the inverse string tension α' for $Y(\mathcal{R})$. The dilaton field is ϕ, and w is the conformal weight of $Y(\mathcal{R})$, with the convention that $w\left(g_{\mu\nu}\right)=+1$ and $w\left(g^{\mu\nu}\right)=-1$. After having eliminated certain terms involving derivatives of ϕ, which would only contribute at higher orders in our perturbative parameter λ, the field equations following from the above effective action are

$$\nabla^{2}\phi-\frac{\lambda}{2}\ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{4}{d-2}(1+w)\phi}\ Y(\mathcal{R})=0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}+\lambda\ \mathrm{e}^{\frac{4}{d-2}(1+w)\phi}\left(\frac{\delta Y(\mathcal{R})}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}+\frac{1}{d-2}Y(\mathcal{R})g_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{d-2}g_{\mu\nu}g^{\rho\sigma}\frac{\delta Y(\mathcal{R})}{\delta g^{\rho\sigma}}\right)=0. \tag{2.3}$$

In a string theory context, one can consistently set all other physical fields (fermion and gauge fields) to zero except the dilaton, as it can be seen from (2.2), since in this equation the correction $Y(\mathcal{R})$ acts as a source term. If one is rather just considering higher order gravitational corrections in a non–stringy framework, one can simply take $\phi=0$ in (2.1) and forget about (2.2); the main results of this article are also valid for such choice.

In this article we consider the scattering of massless scalar fields by a spherically symmetric black hole with string α' corrections in d dimensions. These black holes are solutions to the corrected Einstein equation (2.3) which are built perturbatively in α' and valid only in regions where $r^2\gg\alpha'$: for these black holes the event horizon is much bigger than the string length. They are of the form

$$ds^{2}=-f(r)\ dt^{2}+g^{-1}(r)\ dr^{2}+r^{2}d\Omega_{d-2}^{2}. \tag{2.4}$$

We make the general assumption that the functions $f(r),g(r)$ in (2.4) have the form

$$f(r)=f_{0}(r)\left(1+\lambda f_{c}(r)\right),\ \ g(r)=f_{0}(r)\left(1+\lambda g_{c}(r)\right). \tag{2.5}$$

The function $f_0(r)$ is a solution to the classical Einstein equations, while the functions $f_c(r),g_c(r)$ encode the α' higher–derivative corrections. In principle one could have taken a metric like (2.4), the most general spherically symmetric metric, with two independent functions $f_0(r),g_0(r)$ instead of just one. But, in our system, at order $\lambda=0$, (2.3) reduces to the Einstein equation in vacuum, $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}=0$, and in this case one can always take $f_0(r)=g_0(r)$.

The spherically symmetric solution to the vacuum Einstein equation in d dimensions is the Tangherlini solution [15], with

$$f_{0}(r)=:f_{0}^{T}(r)=1-\left(\frac{R_{H}}{r}\right)^{d-3}, \tag{2.6}$$

R_H being the horizon radius.

In order to also include spherically symmetric black holes in the presence of matter, we will allow for a multiplicative factor $c(r)$:

$$f_0(r) = c(r) \left(1 - \left(\frac{R_H}{r} \right)^{d-3} \right). \tag{2.7}$$

This will be the form of the function $f_0(r)$ we will be considering. The factor $c(r)$ may encode string effects (see subsection 4.3); it also allows for charged black holes, which may happen in the presence of gauge fields. The corresponding solution, corresponding to a black hole of mass M and charge Q, is given by [15]

$$f_0^Q(r) := 1 - \frac{16\pi M}{(d-2)\Omega_{d-2}} \frac{1}{r^{d-3}} + \frac{2Q^2}{(d-2)(d-3)} \frac{1}{r^{2(d-3)}}; \tag{2.8}$$

it can always be reduced to the general form (2.7) by taking

$$c(r) = \frac{f_0^Q(r)}{f_0^T(r)}. \tag{2.9}$$

As it is well known, $f_0^Q(r)$ has in general two simple roots: taking $x = r^{3-d}$, it is a second degree polynomial in x. In this case R_H in (2.7) represents the largest (in r) root of $f_0^Q(r)$. If the other root of $f_0^Q(r)$ is R_-, $c(r)$ is therefore proportional to $R_-^{3-d} - x$: it is a smooth function of r. For the case of an extremal black hole (i.e. when $R_- \equiv R_H$: $f_0^Q(r)$ has a degenerate double root) we see that $c(R_H) \equiv 0$.

2.2 The field equation for minimally coupled scalars in the background of spherically symmetric black holes

We now consider the low frequency scattering of a massless minimally coupled test scalar field $\mathcal{H}$ by the black holes we saw in the previous section. (By "test" we mean it does not affect the evolution of the black hole background.)

First we analyze the case without α' corrections. In this case, the scalar field obeys the Klein–Gordon equation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu \left[\sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \mathcal{H} \right] = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Since we are in a static, spherically symmetric background, this field can be redefined and expanded as

$$\Phi(t, r, \theta) = k(r) \mathcal{H}(t, r, \theta) = \sum_\ell \Phi_\ell(t, r) Y_{\ell 0 \ldots 0}(\theta). \tag{2.11}$$

where ℓ is the angular quantum number associated with the polar angle θ and $Y_{\ell, \varphi_1, .., \varphi_{d-3}}(\theta)$ are the usual spherical harmonics defined over the $(d-2)$ unit sphere. $\varphi_1, .., \varphi_{d-3}$ are the azimuthal angles, which in our problem we may set to constants: θ carries all the angular information. In this case, up to a normalization, $Y_{\ell 0 \ldots 0}(\theta)$ are the Gegenbauer polynomials $C_\ell^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\cos\theta)$ [6]. $k(r)$ is a function whose $\lambda = 0$ part is equal to $r^{\frac{d-2}{2}}$ (see (3.10) below).

From (2.10) and the metric (2.4), the scalar field $\mathcal{H}$ obeys therefore a field equation of the type [1]

$$\partial_t^2 \mathcal{H} - F^2(r)\ \partial_r^2 \mathcal{H} + P(r)\ \partial_r \mathcal{H} + Q(r)\ \mathcal{H} = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

$F(r), P(r), Q(r)$ being functionals of the metric (2.4) and its derivatives, namely of the functions $f(r), g(r)$.

For pure gravity (in the absence of α' corrections) in d dimensions, it is not difficult to obtain such functionals, which in this case we designate by $F_{\mathsf{cl}}, P_{\mathsf{cl}}, Q_{\mathsf{cl}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathsf{cl}} &= \sqrt{fg}, \\ P_{\mathsf{cl}} &= -f\left[(d-2)\frac{g}{r}+\frac{1}{2}\left(f'+g'\right)\right], \\ Q_{\mathsf{cl}} &= \frac{\ell\left(\ell+d-3\right)}{r^2}f+\frac{(g-f)f'}{r}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

It is customary to rewrite the above equation (2.12) in terms of the tortoise coordinate r_* defined in this case by $dr_* = \frac{dr}{F(r)}$. In order to achieve so, we take

$$k(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F}}\exp\left(-\int\frac{P}{2F^2}\,dr\right), \tag{2.14}$$

and replace $\partial/\partial r$ by $\partial/\partial r_*$. It is then easy to see that an equation like (2.12) may be written as a wave equation with a potential $V\left[f(r), g(r)\right]$ [8]:

$$\frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial r_*^2}-\frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial t^2}=\left(Q+\frac{F'^2}{4}-\frac{FF''}{2}-\frac{P'}{2}+\frac{P^2}{4F^2}+\frac{PF'}{F}\right)\Phi\equiv V\left[f(r),g(r)\right]\Phi. \tag{2.15}$$

In the absence of α' corrections, the potential is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mathsf{cl}}\left[f(r),g(r)\right] &= Q_{\mathsf{cl}}+\frac{F_{\mathsf{cl}}'^2}{4}-\frac{F_{\mathsf{cl}}F_{\mathsf{cl}}''}{2}-\frac{P_{\mathsf{cl}}'}{2}+\frac{P_{\mathsf{cl}}^2}{4F_{\mathsf{cl}}^2}+\frac{P_{\mathsf{cl}}F_{\mathsf{cl}}'}{F_{\mathsf{cl}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{16r^2fg}\left[(16\ell(\ell+d-3)f^2g+r^2f^2f'^2+3r^2g^2f'^2-2r^2f(f+g)f'g'-4r^2fg(g-f)f''\right. \\ &+ \left.16rfg^2f'+4r(d-6)f^2gf'+4(d-2)rf^2gg'+4(d-4)(d-2)f^2g^2\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

For solutions (2.4) with $f(r)=g(r)$ a potential analogous to $V_{\mathsf{cl}}\left[f(r),g(r)\right]$ has been obtained in d dimensions in [6]. Still in the absence of α' corrections, equation (2.15) also governs tensor–type gravitational perturbations of the metric, with the same potential $V_{\mathsf{cl}}\left[f(r),g(r)\right]$ obtained from the same functions $F_{\mathsf{cl}}(r), P_{\mathsf{cl}}(r), Q_{\mathsf{cl}}(r)$ in (2.13), as it was shown in [9].

Determining the field equation for the scalar $\mathcal{H}$ in the presence of the α' corrections is not as simple. Since we are dealing with minimally coupled scalars, one could take the same equation (2.15) as in Einstein–Hilbert gravity, and consider that only the graviton is affected by the higher–derivative terms. That procedure is very often followed in the literature, in the context of black holes with non–stringy higher derivative corrections. In such cases, it is possible that the higher derivative corrections only affect the metric. Particularly in the context of Lovelock theories in d dimensions, even the metric does not get a higher order equation of motion, as it is well known.

That cannot be the case in the context of string theory we are considering, since the gravitational correction $Y(\mathcal{R})$ in (2.1) is multiplied by a term containing the dilaton and, as we have seen, acts as a source term in its field equation (2.2). In string theory the graviton field equation (2.3) is modified and, in general, it is of higher order. That must be the case of the other field equations too, including the dilaton. The dilaton field equation (2.2) is of second order, but recall that it results from eliminating terms which would be of higher order in λ, since ϕ is at least of order λ. We do not have such information for $\mathcal{H}$, and therefore we cannot make *a priori* a similar elimination, at least without some extra input.

But a clever argument from [5] tells us that a second order equation is enough to describe the dynamics of the test scalar field $\mathcal{H}$, at least close to the horizon. Indeed, regularity at the horizon tells us that the scalar field can only depend on one (but not on the two) of the Eddington–Finkelstein coordinates $u = t - r_*, v = t + r_*$. This means that close to the horizon, $\mathcal{H}$ must satisfy either $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial u} = 0$ (incoming solution) or $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial v} = 0$ (outgoing solution), which for the metric (2.4) may be written as $\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} = \pm F(r) \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial r}$. Combining the two possible behaviors results in a second order field equation for $\mathcal{H}$ close to the horizon, of the form

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - F(r)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + F(r)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\mathcal{H} = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

In principle, the α'–corrected scalar field equation should also be a higher order differential equation, of the same order of the derivatives in the corrections we are considering, namely in $Y(\mathcal{R})$. But, as argued in [5], close to the horizon such higher order equation should reduce to powers (of the same order) of the second order equation (2.17). Therefore in this region one may simply take (2.17).

At infinity, the curvature vanishes for an asymptotically flat solution like those we are considering, and so do the curvature corrections in $Y(\mathcal{R})$. We assume the same to be true for the α' corrections in general. Therefore the field equation for $\mathcal{H}$ in this region should be the same as if there were no α' corrections, i.e. a second order equation.

As we will see, in order to study the scattering of scalars by black holes we will only need the scalar field equation in the intermediate region between the horizon and asymptotic infinity in order to match the solutions obtained in these two regions. Since in these two regions we have second order scalar field equations, in order to match the respective solutions it is natural to take a second order equation.

This way we assume for the scalar $\mathcal{H}$ a field equation like (2.12), with functionals $F(r), P(r), Q(r)$ of the functions $f(r), g(r)$, but this time including explicit λ–corrected terms, which we write as:

$$F = F_{\mathsf{cl}}, P = P_{\mathsf{cl}} + \lambda P_{\mathsf{corr}}, Q = Q_{\mathsf{cl}} + \lambda Q_{\mathsf{corr}}. \tag{2.18}$$

The $\lambda = 0$ parts $F_{\mathsf{cl}}, P_{\mathsf{cl}}, Q_{\mathsf{cl}}$, as we have seen, correspond to the $\alpha' = 0$ terms for F, P, Q given in (2.13), while $P_{\mathsf{corr}}, Q_{\mathsf{corr}}$ represent explicit λ corrections (with a metric like (2.5) we can always define F as F_{cl} in (2.13), without explicit corrections). Such equation for $\mathcal{H}$ can be rewritten as (2.15), but with a potential $V[f(r), g(r)]$ also with explicit λ corrections.

Still, as we will see the result for the absorption cross section will be essentially independent of the potential, as long as a few general conditions are respected.

We are now ready to start studying scattering processes in the background of a black hole like (2.4).

3. Scattering of minimally coupled scalars by spherically symmetric α'–corrected non–extremal black holes in d dimensions

A classical result in Einstein gravity is that, for any spherically symmetric black hole in arbitrary dimension, the absorption cross section of minimally coupled massless scalar fields is equal to the area of the black hole horizon [1], or equivalently $\sigma = 4S$, S being the Bekenstein–Hawking entropy. In order to extend such study to an effective theory with string α' corrections, we shall use the technique of matching solutions, which was first developed for Einstein gravity in $d = 4$ in [12], and later extended to arbitrary d dimensions in [13]. That was also the technique which was used in [7], where for the first time black hole scattering with $\mathcal{R}^2$ α' corrections was studied. In that paper, a formula for the absorption cross–section was derived for a particular d–dimensional solution [11]. We are looking for a general formula for the absorption cross section, applicable to a general solution like (2.4). The idea of this technique is to separately solve the master equation above in different regions of the parameter r, where in each region we take a different approximation in order to simplify the equation.

We will be considering scattering at low frequencies, $R_H\omega \ll 1$. The low frequency requirement is necessary in order to use the technique of matching solutions: it is precisely when the wavelength of the scattered field is very large, compared to the radius of the black hole, that one can actually match solutions near the event horizon to solutions at asymptotic infinity [12, 13]. Also, at low frequencies, only the mode with lowest angular momentum contributes to the cross section [1]; therefore, from now on, we will always take $\ell = 0$. Since $C_0^{\frac{d-3}{2}}(\cos\theta) \equiv 1$, from the expansion (2.11) we only have to consider $\mathcal{H}_0(t,r) =: H(t,r)$.

We assume that the solutions to the master equation (2.15) are of the form $\Phi(r_*,t) = e^{i\omega t}\Phi(r_*)$, such that $\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t} = i\omega\Phi$ (the same being valid for $H(r,t)$). This way (2.15) looks like Schrödinger equation.

In appendix A we obtain the temperature T of a black hole solution of the form (2.5): not surprisingly, it is proportional to $f_0'(R_H)$. In this article we assume we are dealing with non–extremal black holes; therefore we take $f_0'(R_H) \neq 0$. For the same reason, from the discussion following (2.9) and since $f_0'(R_H) = \frac{(d-3)c(R_H)}{R_H}$, we also assume $c(R_H) \neq 0$. We leave the analysis of scattering by extremal black holes to a future work.

3.1 Scattering close to the event horizon

We start by solving (2.15) near the black hole event horizon. Since $f(R_H) = g(R_H) \equiv 0$, in this region the functions $f(r), g(r)$ from (2.5) have the form

$$f(r) \simeq f_0'(R_H)\left(1+\lambda f_c(R_H)\right)(r-R_H)\,,\;\; g(r) \simeq f_0'(R_H)\left(1+\lambda g_c(R_H)\right)(r-R_H)\,. \tag{3.1}$$

We then naturally take the following assumption for the potential $V[f(r),g(r)]$ in (2.15): at the horizon it vanishes, and as long as $\frac{r-R_H}{R_H} \ll (R_H\omega)^2$ one will have $V[f(r),g(r)] \ll \omega^2$ and in this near–horizon region it may be neglected in (2.15). This assumption is based on the fact that $V[f(r),g(r)]$ is a function of $f(r), g(r)$, which vanish at the horizon. But $V[f(r),g(r)]$ may also be a function of the derivatives of $f(r), g(r)$, which do not vanish at the horizon. That is the case of the potential $V_\mathsf{T}[f(r),g(r)]$ as seen from (B.2). In this case the combinations of terms including derivatives of $f(r), g(r)$ are such that the assumption is indeed valid. That is necessarily the case for the classical part of $V[f(r),g(r)]$ (the $\alpha' = 0$ part of $V_\mathsf{T}[f(r),g(r)]$), as it an be seen from (B.3); this classical part is universal, and it indeed vanishes at the horizon. The remaining part of the potential depends on the considered λ corrections, and we cannot guarantee that it always vanishes at the horizon, like the α' correction of $V_\mathsf{T}[f(r),g(r)]$ in (B.3) indeed does. But if that is the case then it is always suppressed by λ, which is some power of α', guaranteeing the validity of the assumption of the smallness of $V[f(r),g(r)]$ near the horizon.

One thus obtains, very close to the event horizon,

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + \omega^2\right)\left(k(r)H(r)\right) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

In this same region, with f_0 given by (2.7), one has from (2.14)

$$k(r) = R_H^{\frac{d+1}{2}}\left[1 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda\left(\left(f_c(R_H)+g_c(R_H)\right) - \left(f_c(R_H)-g_c(R_H)\right)\log\left(\frac{r-R_H}{R_H}\right)\right)\right] + \mathcal{O}\left(r-R_H\right). \tag{3.3}$$

One can always choose a frame in which $f_c(R_H) \equiv g_c(R_H)$ (this assumption will be clarified in section 3.5.) If this is the case, then $k(r)$ is well defined at $r = R_H$, and can be treated simply as a constant, $k(R_H)$, in a neighborhood of the horizon. Such constant can be discarded from (3.2), which we may then simply write as

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr_*^2}+\omega^2\right)H(r)=0. \tag{3.4}$$

The solutions to (3.4) are plane waves. As we are interested in studying the absorption cross section, we shall consider the general solution for a purely incoming plane wave:

$$H(r_*)=A_{\text{near}}e^{i\omega r_*}+\mathcal{O}\left(r-R_H\right). \tag{3.5}$$

From (2.5) and the definition of F in (2.18), one has

$$r_*(r)=\int\frac{1}{f_0(r)}\left(1-\lambda\frac{f_c(r)+g_c(r)}{2}\right)d\,r.$$

Also with f_0 given by (2.7), one has in this region $f_0(r)\simeq f_0'(R_H)\left(r-R_H\right),\ f_0'(R_H)=\frac{(d-3)c(R_H)}{R_H}$, and therefore[1]

$$r_*(r)=\frac{R_H}{(d-3)c(R_H)}\left(1-\lambda\frac{f_c(R_H)+g_c(R_H)}{2}\right)\log\left(\frac{r-R_H}{R_H}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(r-R_H\right). \tag{3.6}$$

Replacing (3.6) in (3.5), one finally obtains in this region

$$H(r)\simeq A_{\text{near}}\left(1+i\frac{R_H\omega}{(d-3)c(R_H)}\left(1-\lambda\frac{f_c(R_H)+g_c(R_H)}{2}\right)\log\left(\frac{r-R_H}{R_H}\right)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(r-R_H\right). \tag{3.7}$$

3.2 Scattering at asymptotic infinity

We now analyze the solution to (2.15) close to infinity.

In this article we consider asymptotically flat black holes which, at infinity, behave like flat Minkowski spacetime. This is equivalent to saying that, in the metric (2.4), functions $f(r), g(r)$ tend to the constant value 1 in the limit of very large r, and their derivatives tend to 0 in the same limit. This means that, as $r\to\infty$, $c(r)$ in (2.7) must go to 1; that is the case, for instance, of (2.9). In the same limit $r\to\infty$, $f_c(r), g_c(r)$ in (2.5) must go to 0; indeed, in an asymptotically flat space the curvature tensor vanishes at infinity and so should its effects. Therefore in this limit we only need to consider the classical potential $V_{\mathsf{cl}}(r)$, without string corrections, like in [13]. Having all this in mind, from (2.16) we obtain that, asymptotically, $V_{\mathsf{cl}}(r)\approx\frac{(d-4)(d-2)}{4r^2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{R_H}{r^3}\right)$, and therefore the potential can be neglected in the limit $r\to\infty$.

This way, in this limit (2.15) reduces to a simple free–field equation whose solutions are either incoming or outgoing plane–waves in the tortoise coordinate. One can also solve the same equation in the original radial coordinate in terms of Bessel functions, obtaining [1, 12, 13]

$$H(r)=(r\omega)^{(3-d)/2}\left[A_{\text{asymp}}\,J_{(d-3)/2}\left(r\omega\right)+B_{\text{asymp}}\,N_{(d-3)/2}\left(r\omega\right)\right].$$

At low–frequencies, with $r\omega\ll 1$, such solution becomes

---

[1] When obtaining (3.3), we have taken a frame in which $f_c(R_H)\equiv g_c(R_H)$. Therefore, it may seem strange that here we are again taking $f_c(R_H)$ and $g_c(R_H)$ as independent quantities. As we will see in section 3.5, our final result is independent of the chosen frame. We then prefer to leave $f_c(R_H)$ and $g_c(R_H)$ as independent, except when their equality is stritly necessary, as in the derivation of (3.3). There is no inconsistency, because of the frame independence. See section 3.5 for more details.

$$H(r) \simeq A_{\text{asymp}} \, \frac{1}{2^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)} + B_{\text{asymp}} \, \frac{2^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-3}{2}\right)}{\pi \left(r\omega\right)^{d-3}} + \mathcal{O}\left(r\omega\right). \tag{3.8}$$

In order to compute the absorption cross–section, we will need to relate the coefficients A_{asymp} and B_{asymp} to A_{near}, obtained in (3.7). This can be done by the technique of matching near–horizon to asymptotic solutions, and requires us to solve the master equation in an intermediate region, between the event horizon and asymptotic infinity [12, 13]. This is what we will do in the following.

3.3 Scattering in the intermediate region

We now consider the intermediate region: far from the horizon, but not asymptotic infinity. We keep working in the low frequency regime, but this time without any restrictions to the magnitude of the potential, which may be large (but always assumed to be regular).

We want to solve (2.15) or, equivalently, equation (2.12), perturbatively in λ. We then define the expansion

$$H(r) = H_0(r) + \lambda H_1(r), k(r) = k_0(r) + \lambda k_1(r).$$

Using the previous assumptions (2.5) for f, g and taking their $\lambda = 0$ term (which is f_0), and also using the $\lambda = 0$ terms $F_{\mathsf{cl}}, P_{\mathsf{cl}}, Q_{\mathsf{cl}}$ from (2.13) in (2.12), we obtain the following equation for $H_0(r)$, written in the r coordinate (where if $\lambda = 0$ $\frac{d}{dr_*} = f_0 \frac{d}{dr}$):

$$\left[-f_0(r) \frac{d}{dr} \left(f_0(r) \frac{d}{dr} \right) + f_0(r) \left(\frac{(d-2)(d-4) f_0(r)}{4r^2} + \frac{(d-2) f_0'(r)}{2r} \right) \right] \Big(k_0(r) H_0(r) \Big) = 0, \tag{3.9}$$

First, one verifies that from (2.13) and (2.14) we have, up to a multiplicative constant (and for any f),

$$k_0(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}} \exp \left(\int \left(\frac{d-2}{2r} + \frac{f'}{2f} \right) dr \right) = r^{\frac{d-2}{2}}. \tag{3.10}$$

Replacing this expression for k_0, one indeed has, after a simple computation,

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(f_0(r) \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^{\frac{d-2}{2}} H_0 \right) \right) = \left(\frac{(d-2)(d-4) f_0(r)}{4r^2} + \frac{(d-2) f_0'(r)}{2r} \right) r^{\frac{d-2}{2}} H_0 + r^{\frac{2-d}{2}} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^{d-2} f_0(r) \frac{d}{dr} H_0 \right). \tag{3.11}$$

Replacing (3.9) in the equation above, we see that $H_0(r)$ satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(r^{d-2} f_0(r) \frac{d}{dr} H_0(r) \right) = 0, \tag{3.12}$$

whose most general solution is[2]

$$H_0(r) = A^0_{\text{inter}} + B^0_{\text{inter}} \int \frac{dr}{r^{d-2} f_0(r)}. \tag{3.13}$$

In order to solve for $H_1(r)$, we take for F, P, Q similar expansions as we did for H, $k : F = F_0 + \lambda F_1, P = P_0 + \lambda P_1, Q = Q_0 + \lambda Q_1$. The $\lambda = 0$ parts F_0, P_0, Q_0, as we have seen, correspond to the $\alpha' = 0$ terms for F, P, Q given in (2.13), while F_1, P_1, Q_1 represent the full λ corrections: those which are explicit, from (2.18), and those which are implicit, coming by replacing the λ corrections (2.5) to f, g in (2.13).

---

[2] The integrals in this subsection are all meant to be indefinite.

We then expand every term of (2.12). To zero order in λ we obtain

$$H_0'' - \frac{P_0}{F_0^2} H_0' - \frac{Q_0}{F_0^2} H_0 = 0, \tag{3.14}$$

which is completely equivalent to (3.9), with solution (3.13).

The terms of first order in λ are $-F_0^2 H_1'' - F_1^2 H_0'' + P_0 H_1' + P_1 H_0' + Q_0 H_1 + Q_1 H_0$, which may be rewritten as

$$H_1'' - \frac{P_0}{F_0^2} H_1' - \frac{Q_0}{F_0^2} H_1 = R(r), \;\; R(r) = -\left(\frac{F_1}{F_0}\right)^2 H_0'' + \frac{P_1}{F_0^2} H_0' + \frac{Q_1}{F_0^2} H_0 \tag{3.15}$$

This is a second–order linear nonhomogeneous differential equation for H_1. The homogeneous part is exactly the same as the differential equation (3.14) for H_0, with general solution (3.13), replacing $H_0(r), A^0_{\text{inter}}, B^0_{\text{inter}}$ by $H_1(r), A^1_{\text{inter}}, B^1_{\text{inter}}$. A basis for the vector space of independent solutions of (3.14) is

$$h_1(r) = 1, \;\; h_2(r) = \int \frac{d\,r}{r^{d-2} f_0(r)}; \tag{3.16}$$

the respective wronskian matrix is

$$W(r) = \begin{bmatrix} h_1(r) & h_2(r) \\ h_1'(r) & h_2'(r) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \int \frac{d\,r}{r^{d-2} f_0(r)} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{r^{d-2} f_0(r)} \end{bmatrix},$$

with inverse

$$W^{-1}(r) = r^{d-2} f_0(r) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{r^{d-2} f_0(r)} & -\int \frac{d\,r}{r^{d-2} f_0(r)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

According to the method of variation of constants, a particular solution to the nonhomogeneous equation (3.15) is given by

$$H_1^{\text{part}}(r) = v_1(r) h_1(r) + v_2(r) h_2(r), \;\; \begin{bmatrix} v_1(r) \\ v_2(r) \end{bmatrix} = \int R(r)\, W^{-1}(r) \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} d\,r. \tag{3.17}$$

To obtain the most general solution to (3.15) one just needs to add to $H_1^{\text{part}}(r)$ the most general solution (3.13) to the homogeneous equation (3.14), including the contributions H_0, H_1 as $H = H_0 + \lambda H_1$:

$$H(r) = \left(A_{\text{inter}} + \lambda v_1(r)\right) h_1(r) + \left(B_{\text{inter}} + \lambda v_2(r)\right) h_2(r) = A_{\text{inter}} + B_{\text{inter}} \int \frac{d\,r}{r^{d-2} f_0(r)} + \lambda H_1^{\text{part}}(r). \tag{3.18}$$

We still need to verify the behavior of the function $H_1^{\text{part}}(r)$ given by (3.17), namely of the indefinite integrals

$$v_1(r) = -\int R(r) r^{d-2} f_0(r) h_2(r)\, d\,r, \;\; v_2(r) = \int R(r) r^{d-2} f_0(r)\, d\,r. \tag{3.19}$$

Since the metric, by assumption, has no other singularity than the horizon, $v_1(r)$, $v_2(r)$ should be well defined functions for $r > R_H$. It is therefore necessary to verify that the integrals at $v_1(r)$, $v_2(r)$ converge at infinity (i.e for arbitrarily large values of r) and to study their behavior close to $r = R_H$.

Close to infinity, one has at most

$$f_0(r) = 1 - \left(\frac{m}{r}\right)^{d-3} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{m}{r}\right)^{d-2}; \tag{3.20}$$

no lower power of $\frac{1}{r}$ is allowed [15]. (If $f_0(r)$ represents the Tangherlini solution (2.6), then m represents the horizon radius R_H, but in general other string effects may be present.) Taking this to be the asymptotic form of $f_0(r)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(r) &\approx -\int \frac{d-3}{2} B_{\text{inter}} \frac{m^{d-5}}{r^{d-3}} \left(f_c'(r) + g_c'(r)\right) d\,r, \\ v_2(r) &\approx \frac{d-3}{2} B_{\text{inter}} \left(f_c(r) + g_c(r)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Here we make the same assumptions as in section 3.2, namely that all the λ–corrections (the functions $f_c(r), g_c(r)$ and the functionals $P_{\mathsf{corr}}, Q_{\mathsf{corr}}$) tend to zero at infinity (i.e. asymptotically the effects of the corrections vanishes, and everything happens as if $f = g = f_0$). This is a very reasonable physical assumption. In such case, $v_1(r)$, $v_2(r)$ and therefore $H_1^{\text{part}}(r)$ vanish at infinity.

Close to the horizon, one has

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(r) &\approx \frac{B_{\text{inter}}}{4(d-3)c(R_H)R_H^{d-1}} \left(f_c(R_H) - g_c(R_H)\right) \log\left(\frac{r-R_H}{R_H}\right)^2 + v_1^{\text{reg}}(R_H) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{r-R_H}{R_H}\right), \\ v_2(r) &\approx -\frac{B_{\text{inter}}}{2R_H^2} \left(f_c(R_H) - g_c(R_H)\right) \log\left(\frac{r-R_H}{R_H}\right) + v_2^{\text{reg}}(R_H) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{r-R_H}{R_H}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

$v_1^{\text{reg}}(R_H), v_2^{\text{reg}}(R_H)$ are defined up to two integration constants (from (3.19)), which may be absorbed by A_{inter} in (3.18). The only terms in these functions which are not regular at $r = R_H$ are both multiplied by $(f_c(R_H) - g_c(R_H))$ but, as we have mentioned in section 3.1 and will clarify in section 3.5, we can always choose a frame in which $f_c(R_H) \equiv g_c(R_H)$. The remaining terms in $v_1(r), v_2(r)$ are regular and vanish at $r = R_H$. This means that one can ignore $H_1^{\text{part}}(r)$ close to the horizon and simply consider the solution to the homogeneous equation.

To summarize: we were able to solve the master equation in the intermediate region. This is a linear nonhomogeneous equation; for its general solution, we should add to the solution to the homogeneous equation a particular solution $H_1^{\text{part}}(r)$, which we found by the method of variation of constants. We verified the behavior of this particular solution $H_1^{\text{part}}(r)$ at infinity and close to the black hole horizon, and in both cases we concluded that either it vanishes or its contribution was subleading; close to these regions, we can neglect $H_1^{\text{part}}(r)$ and simply consider the solution to the homogeneous equation $H_0(r)$. This will be a key feature for the matching process.

3.4 Calculation of the absorption cross section

We are now ready to start the matching process, using f_0 given by (2.7).

If we evaluate (3.18) near the horizon, from (3.16) we obtain

$$H(r) \simeq A_{\text{inter}} + \frac{B_{\text{inter}}}{(d-3)R_H^{d-3}c\,(R_H)} \log\left(\frac{r-R_H}{R_H}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{r-R_H}{R_H}\right). \tag{3.23}$$

Matching the coefficients above to the ones in (3.7) immediately yields

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{near}} &= A_{\text{inter}}, \\ B_{\text{inter}} &= i A_{\text{near}} R_H^{d-2} \omega \left(1 - \lambda \frac{f_c(R_H) + g_c(R_H)}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

As in section 3.2 we assume that $c(r) \underset{r\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 1$ in such a way that condition (3.20) is verified. This condition allows us to have, at asymptotic infinity, to leading order,

$$h_2(r) = \int \frac{dr}{r^{d-2} f_0(r)} \simeq \int \frac{dr}{r^{d-2}} + \cdots = -\frac{1}{d-3} \frac{1}{r^{d-3}} + \cdots , \tag{3.25}$$

and, therefore, evaluating (3.18) again asymptotically,

$$H(r) \simeq A_{\text{inter}} - \frac{B_{\text{inter}}}{d-3} \frac{1}{r^{d-3}} + \cdots . \tag{3.26}$$

In this region one may match the coefficients above to the ones in (3.8), yielding

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{asymp}} &= 2^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right) A_{\text{inter}} = 2^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right) A_{\text{near}}, \\ B_{\text{asymp}} &= -\frac{\pi \omega^{d-3}}{2^{\frac{d-3}{2}} (d-3) \Gamma\left(\frac{d-3}{2}\right)} B_{\text{inter}} = -\frac{i\pi \left(R_H \omega\right)^{d-2}}{2^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-1}{2}\right)} \left(1 - \lambda \frac{f_c(R_H) + g_c(R_H)}{2} \right) A_{\text{near}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Computing the low frequency absorption cross section is now a simple exercise in scattering theory [12, 13]. Near the black hole event horizon, from (3.5), the incoming flux per unit area is

$$J_{\text{near}} = \frac{1}{2i} \left(H^\dagger(r_*) \frac{dH}{dr_*} - H(r_*) \frac{dH^\dagger}{dr_*} \right) = \omega \left| A_{\text{near}} \right|^2 . \tag{3.28}$$

The outgoing flux per unit area at asymptotic infinity, where r_* and r coincide, is, from (3.8),

$$J_{\text{asymp}} = \frac{1}{2i} \left(H^\dagger(r) \frac{dH}{dr} - H(r) \frac{dH^\dagger}{dr} \right) = \frac{2}{\pi} r^{2-d} \omega^{3-d} \left| A_{\text{asymp}} B_{\text{asymp}} \right| . \tag{3.29}$$

In order to compute the cross section, this same flux per unit area at asymptotic infinity must be integrated over a sphere of (large) radius r, and the result should be divided by the incoming flux per unit area:

$$\sigma = \frac{\int r^{d-2} J_{\text{asymp}} d\Omega_{d-2}}{J_{\text{near}}} = \frac{2}{\pi} \omega^{2-d} \frac{\left| A_{\text{asymp}} B_{\text{asymp}} \right|}{\left| A_{\text{near}} \right|^2} \Omega_{d-2}. \tag{3.30}$$

Replacing the results from (3.27), the final result is

$$\sigma = A_H \left(1 - \lambda \, \frac{f_c(R_H) + g_c(R_H)}{2} \right), \tag{3.31}$$

where $A_H = R_H^{d-2} \Omega_{d-2}$ is the horizon area.

3.5 Discussion on dependence under field redefinitions

In appendix A we obtain the temperature T of a black hole solution of the form (2.5), given by eq. (A.1). We recall that the corrections we have been obtaining are multiplicative; for the absorption cross section and for the temperature they are respectively of the form

$$\sigma = \sigma|_{\alpha'=0}\left(1+\lambda\delta\sigma\right), \, T = T|_{\alpha'=0}\left(1+\lambda\delta T\right). \tag{3.32}$$

From eq. (A.1) we see that $\delta T = -\delta\sigma = \frac{f_c(R_H)+g_c(R_H)}{2}$.

During our calculation process, several times we have made the assumption that $f_c(R_H) = g_c(R_H)$. In general, $f_c(r)$ and $g_c(r)$ are two independent functions. Setting them equal by a conformal transformation is possible: that would be equivalent to setting the functions $f(r), g(r)$ in the metric (2.4) equal. That is called a change of frame. By requiring that $f_c(r) = g_c(r)$ or, at least, that $f_c(R_H) = g_c(R_H)$, we are therefore picking a particular frame, since such relation is not valid in every frame.

One may therefore ask which quantities depend and which do not on the choice of frame. A quantity which is invariant under field redefinitions is the euclidean time periodicity β (after euclideanization of the spacetime). As it is well known, the black hole temperature is defined as $T = \frac{1}{\beta}$, and therefore it is also invariant under field redefinitions. In particular, $\delta T = \frac{f_c(R_H)+g_c(R_H)}{2}$ is also invariant for metric redefinitions which preserve the general form (2.5): no matter which values $f_c(R_H)$, $g_c(R_H)$ get, their sum is constrained to be invariant.

This means that, although we required a frame in which $f_c(R_H) \equiv g_c(R_H)$ in order to obtain the cross section, the final result (3.31) does not depend on that choice: it is valid and can be directly applied to metrics of the form (2.5) for which $f_c(R_H) \neq g_c(R_H)$, without having to do any metric redefinition, but knowing that such redefinition in order to have $f_c(R_H) \equiv g_c(R_H)$ is always possible.

4. Application to concrete string-corrected black hole solutions

We now apply our result to the computation of the absorption cross section for a few specific black hole solutions in string theory. Although our result can of course be applied to concrete solutions in specific given d dimensions, we prefer to consider in this article only solutions in which d remains arbitrary.

In this article we only consider solutions with leading $\mathcal{R}^2$ corrections. Concretely, we take $Y(\mathcal{R}) = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ in (2.1), with $\lambda = \frac{\alpha'}{2}, \frac{\alpha'}{4}$ and 0 for bosonic, heterotic and type II strings, respectively. (Type II supersymmetry prevents this term to appear in the ten dimensional effective action.) From now on, we will assume to be dealing with heterotic strings and take $\lambda = \frac{\alpha'}{4}$ in (2.1).

4.1 The d–dimensional Callan–Myers–Perry black hole

The Callan–Myers–Perry solution was the first d–dimensional black hole solution with $\mathcal{R}^2$ corrections to be obtained (in [11]). It is a simple generalization of the Tangherlini solution of the form (2.5), with $f_0 = f_0^T$ given by (2.6) and

$$f_c(r) = g_c(r) = f_c^{CMP}(r) := -\frac{(d-3)(d-4)}{2}\,\left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{d-3}\,\frac{1-\left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{d-1}}{1-\left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{d-3}}. \tag{4.1}$$

A simple application of l'Hôpital's rule allows us to compute

$$\lim_{r\to R_H} f_c^{CMP}(r) = -\frac{(d-1)(d-4)}{2}, \tag{4.2}$$

from which we obtain, using (3.31), the absorption cross section

$$\sigma^{CMP} = A_H \left(1 + \frac{(d-1)(d-4)}{8} \, \frac{\alpha'}{R_H^2} \right). \tag{4.3}$$

Here we are just confirming the result of [7], where this same computation was performed, with less generality, just for this particular solution. Next we will apply our formula to two previously unstudied cases.

4.2 The string-corrected dilatonic d–dimensional black hole

The Callan–Myers–Perry solution expresses the effect of the string $\mathcal{R}^2$ corrections, but it does not consider any other string effects, namely the fact that string theories live in d_S spacetime dimensons ($d_S = 10$ or 26 on heterotic or bosonic strings, respectively), and have to be compactified to d dimensions on a $d_S - d$–dimensional manifold. When passing from the string to the Einstein frame, the volume of the compactification manifold becomes spatially varying. In the simple case when such manifold is a flat torus, that volume depends only on the $d-$dimensional part of the dilaton ϕ and, after solving the α'–corrected field equation (2.3) the metrics of the compactification manifold and of the d–dimensional spacetime decouple.

The explicit solution was worked out in [14]. The general solution for the dilaton, in the background of the spherically symmetric Tangherlini black hole (2.6), is necessarily of order $\alpha' : \phi(r) := \frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2}\varphi(r)$, with $\varphi(r)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(r) \, = \, & \frac{(d-2)^2}{4} \left[\ln \left(1 - \left(\frac{R_H}{r} \right)^{d-3} \right) - \frac{d-3}{2} \left(\frac{R_H}{r} \right)^2 - \frac{d-3}{d-1} \left(\frac{R_H}{r} \right)^{d-1} \right. \\ & \left. + \; B \left(\left(\frac{R_H}{r} \right)^{d-3} ; \, \frac{2}{d-3}, 0 \right) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

$B(x;\, a, b) = \int_0^x t^{a-1} \, (1-t)^{b-1} \, dt$ being the incomplete Euler beta function. The derivative of ϕ is given by $\phi' \, (r) = \frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2} \frac{(d-3)(d-2)^2}{4} \frac{R_H^{d-3}}{r^{d-2}} \frac{1-\left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{d-1}}{1-\left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{d-3}}$, i.e.

$$r\varphi' = -\frac{(d-2)^2}{2(d-4)} f_c^{CMP}(r), \tag{4.5}$$

with $f_c^{CMP}(r)$ given by (4.1). At the horizon, we have [3] [14]

$$\varphi\left(R_H\right) = -\frac{(d-2)^2}{8(d-1)} \left(d^2 - 2d + 2(d-1) \left(\psi^{(0)} \left(\frac{2}{d-3} \right) + \gamma \right) - 3 \right). \tag{4.6}$$

The d–dimensional part of the metric is of the form (2.4), with f, g given by (2.5), $f_0 = f_0^T$ given by (2.6) and

$$g_c(r) = f_c^{CMP}(r), \; f_c(r) = f_c^{CMP}(r) + 4 \frac{d_s - d}{(d_s - 2)^2} \left(\varphi - r\varphi' \right). \tag{4.7}$$

---

[3] The digamma function is given by $\psi(z) = \Gamma'(z)/\Gamma(z)$, $\Gamma(z)$ being the usual Γ function. For positive n, one defines $\psi^{(n)}(z) = d^n \, \psi(z)/d\, z^n$. This definition can be extended for other values of n by fractional calculus analytic continuation. These are meromorphic functions of z with no branch cut discontinuities.

γ is Euler's constant, defined by $\gamma = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} - \ln n \right)$, with numerical value $\gamma \approx 0.577216$.

Using (4.2), (4.5) and (4.6), one can determine $\lim_{r\to R_H}(\varphi - r\varphi')$, which, together with (4.7), again (4.2) and (3.31) allows us to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma = A_H \Bigg(1 + \Bigg(&\frac{(d-1)(d-4)}{2} \\ &+ \frac{d_s - d}{(d_s-2)^2}\frac{(d-2)^2}{4(d-1)}\left(3d^2 - 6d - 1 + 2(d-1)\left(\psi^{(0)}\left(\frac{2}{d-3}\right) + \gamma\right)\right)\Bigg)\ \frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2}\Bigg).\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

We have numerically evaluated the α'–correction for the cross section: it is always positive, for every relevant value of d.

4.3 The double–charged d–dimensional black hole

In article [16] one can find black holes in any dimension formed by a fundamental string compactified on an internal circle with any momentum n and winding w, both at leading order and with leading α' corrections. One starts with the Callan–Myers–Perry solution in the string frame [11], which is of the form (2.4), with f, g replaced by f_S^{CMP}, g_S^{CMP}, given by

$$\begin{aligned}f_S^{CMP}(r) &= f_0^T\left(1 + 2\frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2}\mu(r)\right), \\ g_S^{CMP}(r) &= f_0^T\left(1 - 2\frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2}\epsilon(r)\right), \\ \epsilon(r) &= \frac{d-3}{4}\frac{\left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{d-3}}{1-\left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{d-3}}\Bigg[\frac{(d-2)(d-3)}{2} - \frac{2(2d-3)}{d-1} + (d-2)\left(\psi^{(0)}\left(\frac{2}{d-3}\right) + \gamma\right) \\ &\quad + d\left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{d-1} + \frac{4}{d-2}\varphi(r)\Bigg], \\ \mu(r) &= -\epsilon(r) + \frac{2}{d-2}(\varphi(r) - r\varphi'(r)).\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

f_0^T is given by (2.6) and $\varphi(r)$ is given by (4.4).

This metric is lifted to an additional dimension by adding an extra coordinate, taken to be compact (this means to produce a uniform black string). One then performs a boost along this extra direction, with parameter α_w, and T–dualizes around it (to change string momentum into winding), obtaining a $(d+1)$–dimensional black string winding around a circle. Finally one boosts one other time along this extra direction, with parameter α_p, in order to add back momentum charge. One finally obtains a spherically symmetric black hole in d dimensions with two electrical charges.

The whole process is worked out in detail in [16]; the final metric, in the string frame, is of the form

(2.4), with f, g given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_S(r) &= \frac{f_0^T}{\Delta(\alpha_n)\Delta(\alpha_w)} \left[1 + \frac{\alpha'}{2R_H^2} \frac{\mu(r)}{\Delta(\alpha_n)\Delta(\alpha_w)} - \frac{\alpha'}{2R_H^2} \mu(r) \frac{\sinh^2(\alpha_n)\sinh^2(\alpha_w)}{\Delta(\alpha_n)\Delta(\alpha_w)} \left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{2(d-3)} \right. \qquad (4.10) \\ &\left. + \ \frac{\alpha'}{2R_H^2}\mu(r) \left(\frac{\sinh^2 \alpha_n}{\Delta(\alpha_n)} + \frac{\sinh^2 \alpha_w}{\Delta(\alpha_w)} \right) + \frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2}(d-3)^2 f_0^T \left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{2(d-2)} \frac{\sinh^2(\alpha_n)\sinh^2(\alpha_w)}{\Delta(\alpha_n)\Delta(\alpha_w)} \right], \\ \Delta(x) &:= 1 + \left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{d-3} \sinh^2 x, \qquad (4.11) \\ g_S(r) &= f_0^T \left(1 - \frac{\alpha'}{2R_H^2}\epsilon(r) \right). \qquad (4.12) \end{aligned}$$

The dilaton in this case is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-2\phi} &= \sqrt{\Delta(\alpha_n)\Delta(\alpha_w)} \left[1 - 2\frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2}\varphi(r) - \frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2}\mu(r) f_0^T \left(\frac{\sinh^2 \alpha_n}{\Delta(\alpha_n)} + \frac{\sinh^2 \alpha_w}{\Delta(\alpha_w)} \right) \right. \\ &\left. - \ \frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2} \frac{(d-3)^2}{2} f_0^T \left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{2(d-2)} \frac{\sinh^2(\alpha_n)\sinh^2(\alpha_w)}{\Delta(\alpha_n)\Delta(\alpha_w)} \right], \qquad (4.13) \end{aligned}$$

with $\varphi(r)$ still given by (4.4).

In order for the functions f, g to have the form of (2.5), one needs to take a conformal transformation of the metric, changing frame ($e^{-2\phi}$ being given by (4.13)):

$$g^I_{\mu\nu} = e^{-2\phi} g^S_{\mu\nu}. \qquad (4.14)$$

This way we obtain a metric of the form (2.4), with f, g given by (2.5), but this time with f_0 given by

$$f_0^I = \frac{f_0^T}{\sqrt{\Delta(\alpha_n)\Delta(\alpha_w)}},$$

f_0^T being given by (2.6). This is clearly a metric of the type (2.7) which also satisfies condition (3.20), with $c(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta(\alpha_n)\Delta(\alpha_w)}}$. f_c, g_c are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_c^I(r) = \frac{1}{2\Delta(\alpha_n)\Delta(\alpha_w)} \Big(&- 4\Delta(\alpha_n)\Delta(\alpha_w)\varphi(r) + 2\left(2 - f_0^T\right)\left(\Delta(\alpha_n)\sinh^2(\alpha_w) + \Delta(\alpha_w)\sinh^2(\alpha_n)\right)\mu(r) \\ &+ 4\left(1 - \left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{2(d-3)} \sinh^2(\alpha_w)\sinh^2(\alpha_n)\right)\mu(r) + (d-3)^2 f_0^T \left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{2(d-2)} \sinh^2(\alpha_w)\sinh^2(\alpha_n) \Big), \\ g_c^I(r) = \frac{1}{2\Delta(\alpha_n)\Delta(\alpha_w)} \Big(&2\left(\Delta(\alpha_n)\sinh^2(\alpha_w) + \Delta(\alpha_w)\sinh^2(\alpha_n)\right)\mu(r) f_0^T \\ &+ (d-3)^2 f_0^T \left(\frac{R_H}{r}\right)^{2(d-2)} \sinh^2(\alpha_w)\sinh^2(\alpha_n) + 4\Delta(\alpha_n)\Delta(\alpha_w)\left(\varphi(r) - \epsilon(r)\right) \Big). \qquad (4.15) \end{aligned}$$

After determining the respective limits when $r \to R_H$, (3.31) allows us to obtain

$$\sigma = A_H \left(1 + \frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2} \frac{3d^3 - 16d^2 + 19d - 2 + 2(d-2)(d-1)\left(\psi^{(0)}\left(\frac{2}{d-3}\right) + \gamma\right)}{4(d-1)} \right). \tag{4.16}$$

We have again numerically evaluated the α'–correction for the cross section: like in the previous case, it is always positive, for every relevant value of d.

5. Comparison with the entropy

As we have seen, in classical Einstein gravity the low frequency limit of the absorption cross section of minimally coupled massless fields, for any spherically symmetric black hole in arbitrary d dimensions, equals the area of the black hole horizon [1]. In terms of a physical quantity, the Bekenstein–Hawking entropy, this statement may be written as $\sigma|_{\alpha'=0} = 4G\, S|_{\alpha'=0}$.

It is an interesting physical question to figure out if such relation is preserved in the presence of α' corrections, i.e. to verify if the corrections to the cross sections we have been obtaining and to the black hole entropy are the same. The α'–corrected entropy can be obtained through Wald's formula

$$S = -2\pi \int_H \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon^{\rho\sigma} \sqrt{h}\, d\,\Omega_{d-2}, \tag{5.1}$$

$\mathcal{L}$ being the α'–corrected lagrangian (2.1); H is the black hole horizon, with area $A_H = R_H^{d-2}\Omega_{d-2}$ and metric h_{ij} induced by the spacetime metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. For the metric (2.4), the nonzero components of the binormal $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}$ to H are $\varepsilon^{tr} = -\varepsilon^{rt} = -\sqrt{\frac{g}{f}}$.

From (2.1) one also needs

$$8\pi G \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} = \frac{1}{4}\left(g_{\mu\rho} g_{\sigma\nu} - g_{\mu\sigma} g_{\rho\nu}\right) + \mathrm{e}^{\frac{4}{d-2}\phi} \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{\partial Y(\mathcal{R})}{\partial \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}}.$$

This way, taking only nonzero components, one gets from (2.4)

$$8\pi G \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon^{\rho\sigma} = 4 \times 8\pi G \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial R^{trtr}} \varepsilon^{tr} \varepsilon^{tr} = \left(-\frac{f}{g} + \mathrm{e}^{\frac{4}{d-2}\phi} 2\lambda \frac{\partial Y(\mathcal{R})}{\partial \mathcal{R}^{trtr}} \right) \frac{g}{f}, \tag{5.2}$$

and therefore

$$S = \frac{1}{4G} \int_H \left(1 - 2\lambda \frac{\partial Y(\mathcal{R})}{\partial \mathcal{R}^{trtr}} \right) \sqrt{h}\, d\,\Omega_{d-2} = \frac{A_H}{4G} - \frac{\lambda}{2G} \int_H \frac{\partial Y(\mathcal{R})}{\partial \mathcal{R}^{trtr}} \sqrt{h}\, d\,\Omega_{d-2}. \tag{5.3}$$

Here one should notice that the $\lambda = 0$ part of the integrand could in principle also contribute to the λ–correction to the entropy, because of the λ–correction to the metric. But this $\lambda = 0$ part is actually constant, as one can see from (5.2), no matter what f, g actually are. This way, the λ–correction to the entropy depends only on the λ–correction term in (5.2) which, to first order in λ, should be computed with the $\lambda = 0$ part of the metric. Therefore, the λ–correction to the entropy does not depend on the λ–corrections to the metric (to first order in λ).

For the case $Y(\mathcal{R}) = \frac{1}{2}\, \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ and $\lambda = \frac{\alpha'}{4}$, corresponding to the particular solutions we have been studying, one has $\frac{\partial Y(\mathcal{R})}{\partial \mathcal{R}^{trtr}} = \mathcal{R}_{trtr}$. At order $\alpha' = 0$, $\phi = 0$, $f = g = f_0^T$. In this case $\mathcal{R}_{trtr} = \frac{1}{2} f''$. $f'' = f_0^{T''} = -\frac{R_H^{d-3}}{r^{d-1}}(d-3)(d-2)$. Therefore

$$S = \frac{1}{4G} \int_H \left(1 + \frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2}(d-3)(d-2)\right) \sqrt{h}\, d\,\Omega_{d-2} = \frac{A_H}{4G} \left(1 + (d-3)(d-2)\frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2}\right). \tag{5.4}$$

This same result was first obtained (by a different process, though) in [11]. From what we have just seen, it is no surprise that the result (5.4) is the same for the Callan–Myers–Perry solution (4.1), for the dilatonic solution (4.7) and for any solution whose classical part is the Tangherlini solution (2.6).

From our results, we conclude that the α'–corrected cross sections and the α'–corrected black hole entropy do not coincide, for a generic black hole solution.

6. Discussion and future directions

In this article, we have obtained a general formula for the low frequency absorption cross section for spherically symmetric d–dimensional black holes with leading α' corrections in string theory, which we applied to known black hole solutions.

A remarkable fact about our α'–corrected cross section (3.31) is that it depends exclusively on information computed at the black horizon (the area and the α' correction to the tortoise coordinate (3.6) computed at the horizon). Indeed, as we have seen, only the $\lambda = 0$ contribution from the intermediate region affects the matching (and the final result). At asymptotic infinity the analysis is exactly the same as without α' corrections, and close to the horizon, the potential $V[f(r), g(r)]$ given by (2.15) also vanishes and the only effect of the α' corrections comes from the approximation (3.1).

This suggests some kind of universality: maybe the low frequency limit of the cross section is the same not only for minimally coupled massless scalar fields, but also for other types of waves or perturbations. In particular, our result for the cross section finally does not depend on the effective potential. This fact allows us to go even further and propose that a result like (3.31) should be valid to higher orders in α', as long as a spherically solution with α' corrections like (2.5) exists, replacing λ by the adequate power of α'. All these claims should be checked in future works.

Another general question we have addressed in this work is: is the relation $\sigma = 4GS$ also valid in the presence of α' corrections? Does our general formula (3.31) for the α'–corrected absorption cross section match the general formula (5.1) for the α'–corrected entropy? From our analysis we concluded that the answer, in general, is negative. Indeed, from our discussion of section 5, we showed that the entropy, to first order in α', depended exclusively on the classical $\alpha' = 0$ metric, while from (3.31) the absorption cross section depends explicitly on the α' corrections to the metric. The examples we have analyzed confirm such discrepancy.

But there are examples in the literature where the agreement $\sigma = 4GS$ exists. In article [17] such agreement was found, to all orders in α', for fundamental strings in the (small) black hole phase (BPS states of heterotic strings compactified on $S^1 \times T^5$). In this article we did not deal with fundamental strings or small black holes, but this gives us a hint that, in some special cases, the agreement may exist.

A more recent example is in article [18], where the authors analyzed 1/4 BPS black holes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ string theory both in $d = 4$ and $d = 5$, having in both cases obtained the agreement $\sigma = 4GS$ just to first order in α'. The examples we have analyzed here are not supersymmetric and are in generic d spacetime dimensions. But the agreement found in [18] allows us to ask a few questions, which for now remain open: does that agreement hold for generic d dimensions? Does it only hold for supersymmetric black holes? What could be the minimal amount of supersymmetry for it to eventually hold? We cannot provide answers to such questions because, as we have mentioned, our results only apply to non–extremal black holes. In a forthcoming work we will extend the results of this article to extremal (and, in particular, to supersymmetric) black holes.

From what we have seen, the possibility of the two quantities σ, S having the same correction would require some relation between the classical $\alpha' = 0$ metric and its α' corrections. That should be the object of further study, in the same way as the possibility of generalization of the cross section formula (3.31) to include next to leading order α' corrections.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to acknowledge useful discussions with Dan Gorbonos, Miguel Paulos, Sameer Murthy and Ricardo Schiappa. This work has been supported by CMAT - U.Minho through the FCT Pluriannual Funding Program, and by FCT and FEDER through CERN/FP/116377/2010 and CERN/FP/123609/2011 projects.

A. The α' corrections to the temperature

In order to compute the temperature T of a black hole given by a metric of the form (2.4), one first Wick–rotates to Euclidean time $t = i\tau$; the resulting manifold has no conical singularities as long as τ is a periodic variable, with a period $\beta = \frac{1}{T}$. The precise smoothness condition is $2\pi = \lim_{r \to R_H} \frac{\beta}{g^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r)} \frac{df^{\frac{1}{2}}(r)}{dr}$, from which one gets

$$T = \lim_{r \to R_H} \frac{\sqrt{g}}{2\pi} \frac{d\sqrt{f}}{dr}.$$

In the case f, g are given by (2.5), the temperature comes as

$$T = \frac{f_0'(R_H)}{4\pi} \left(1 + \lambda \, \frac{f_c(R_H) + g_c(R_H)}{2} \right). \tag{A.1}$$

The α' correction to the temperature is the same obtained to the absorption cross section in (3.31), but with opposite sign: when one of these quantities increases, the other one decreases by the same (relative) magnitude. This means the product σT does not get α' corrections to first order.

B. An example: the potential for tensor–type gravitational perturbations with leading α' corrections

As we mentioned in the main text, without α' corrections the equation describing tensor–type gravitational perturbations of a spherically symmetric metric in d dimensions like (2.4) is the same as the field equation for $\mathcal{H}$ (the same being true for the potential $V_{\mathsf{cl}}[f(r), g(r)]$, given by (2.16)). That does not necessarily need to be the case in the presence of α' corrections. Just as an example of a higher order potential, here we show the potential for tensorial perturbations of a metric like (2.4), but with leading α' corrections quadratic in the Riemann tensor, in the context of heterotic string theory, i.e. a solution coming from the action (2.1), with $Y(\mathcal{R}) = \frac{\alpha'}{8} \, \mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$.

In a different work [10] we showed that in this case the perturbation variable obeys an equation like (2.12), with [4]

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\mathsf{T}} &= \sqrt{fg}\left(1+\frac{\alpha'}{4}\frac{f'-g'}{r}\right), \\
P_{\mathsf{T}} &= -f\left[(d-2)\frac{g}{r}+\frac{1}{2}\left(f'+g'\right)+\frac{\alpha'}{4r^2}\left(4(d-4)\frac{g(1-g)}{r}+rg'\left(f'-g'\right)-4gg'+2(d-2)gf'\right)\right], \\
Q_{\mathsf{T}} &= \frac{\ell\left(\ell+d-3\right)}{r^2}f+\frac{(g-f)f'}{r}+\frac{\alpha'}{2r^2}\left[\frac{\ell\left(\ell+d-3\right)}{r}f\left(2\frac{1-g}{r}+f'\right)+(g-f)f'^2\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

From (B.1) and (2.16) we see that the corresponding potential is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\mathsf{T}}[f(r),g(r)] &= V_{\mathsf{cl}}[f(r),g(r)]+\frac{\alpha'}{32r^4fg}\left[32\ell(\ell+d-3)f^2(1-g)g+16\ell(d+\ell-3)f^2gf'r\right. \\
&+\ 3r^3g^2f'^2\left(f'-g'\right)-2r^3fgf'\left(f'-g'\right)g'-4r^3f^2gf'\left(f''-g''\right)-2r^3f^2gg'\left(f''-g''\right) \\
&+\ 2r^3fg^2\left(-3f'f''+2g'f''+f'g''\right)-4r^3f^2g^2\left(f^{(3)}-g^{(3)}\right)+18r^2fg^2f'^2-12r^2f^2gf'^2 \\
&-\ 10r^2f^2gg'^2-2r^2fg^2f'g'+2r^2(4d-13)f^2gf'g'+8r^2f^2g^2f''+8(d-5)r^2f^2g^2g'' \\
&+\ 4r(d-4)^2f^2g^2(f'+g')+8rf^2g^2(g'-f')+8(d-4)rf^2g(f'+g'-4gg') \\
&+\ \left.16(d-5)(d-4)f^2g^2(1-g)-r^3f^2f'^2\left(f'-g'\right)\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

Close to the horizon f, g are given by (3.1), and the potential $V_{\mathsf{T}}[f(r),g(r)]$ comes as

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\mathsf{T}}(r) \simeq\ & \frac{r-R_H}{2R_H}\Big[(d-2)f_0'^2(R_H)+\frac{\alpha'}{4R_H^2}f_0'(R_H)\left[4(d-4)R_Hf_0'^2(R_H)\right. \\
&+\ (8(d-4)+(3d-10)f_c(R_H)+(d+2)g_c(R_H) \\
&+\ \left.R_H\left(f_c'(R_H)-g_c'(R_H)\right)\right)f_0'(R_H)+R_H\left(f_c(R_H)-g_c(R_H)\right)f_0''(R_H)\Big]\Big]+\mathcal{O}\left((r-R_H)^2\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

This means at the precise location of the horizon, $V_{\mathsf{T}}[f(r),g(r)]$ vanishes; in the nearby region it may be neglected.

In this article we consider asymptotically flat black holes which, at infinity, behave like flat Minkowski spacetime. Here we make the same assumptions as in section 3.2, namely that at asymptotic infinity, in the metric (2.4), functions $f(r), g(r)$ tend to the constant value 1 in the limit of very large r, and their derivatives tend to 0 in the same limit. From (B.2) we see that, asymptotically, $V_{\mathsf{T}}(r)$ behaves at most as $1/r^2$, and therefore it vanishes in the limit $r \to \infty$. The leading α' correction behaves as $1/r^4$ and it also vanishes in this limit.

We conclude that the potential $V_{\mathsf{T}}[f(r),g(r)]$ given by (B.2), which is an example of a potential including α' corrections, satisfies all the assumptions we made in sections 3.1 and 3.2.

References

[1] S. R. Das, G. Gibbons and S. D. Mathur, *Universality of Low Energy Absorption Cross–Sections for Black Holes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** (1997) 417, `[hep-th/9609052]`.

---

[4] It is easy to see that, for a solution like (2.5), one has $F_{\mathsf{T}} = F_{\mathsf{cl}}$, as previously mentioned.

[2] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, *The Shear viscosity of strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 081601 [arXiv:hep-th/0104066].

[3] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, *From AdS/CFT correspondence to hydrodynamics,* JHEP **0209** (2002) 043 [arXiv:hep-th/0205052].

[4] P. Kovtun, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, *Viscosity in strongly interacting quantum field theories from black hole physics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005) 111601 [arXiv:hep-th/0405231].

[5] M. F. Paulos, *Transport coefficients, membrane couplings and universality at extremality*, JHEP **1002** (2010) 067 [arXiv:0910.4602 [hep-th]].

[6] V. Cardoso and J. P. S. Lemos, *Black hole collision with a scalar particle in four-dimensional, five-dimensional and seven-dimensional anti-de Sitter space-times: Ringing and radiation*, Phys. Rev. **D66** (2002) 064006 `[hep-th/0206084]`.

[7] F. Moura and R. Schiappa, *Higher-derivative corrected black holes: Perturbative stability and absorption cross-section in heterotic string theory*, Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) 361 `[hep-th/0605001]`.

[8] G. Dotti and R. J. Gleiser, *Linear Stability of Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet Static Spacetimes, Part I: Tensor Perturbations*, Phys. Rev. **D72** (2005) 044018, `[gr-qc/0503117]`.

[9] A. Ishibashi and H. Kodama, *A Master Equation for Gravitational Perturbations of Maximally Symmetric Black Holes in Higher Dimensions*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **110** (2003) 701, `[hep-th/0305147]`.

[10] F. Moura, *Tensorial perturbations and stability of spherically symmetric d–dimensional black holes in string theory*, `arXiv:1212.2904 [hep-th]`.

[11] C. G. Callan, R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, *Black Holes in String Theory*, Nucl. Phys. **B311** (1989) 673.

[12] W. G. Unruh, *Absorption Cross–Section of Small Black Holes*, Phys. Rev. **D14** (1976) 3251.

[13] T. Harmark, J. Natário and R. Schiappa, *Greybody Factors for d–Dimensional Black Holes*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **14** (2010) 727, [arXiv:0708.0017 [hep-th]].

[14] F. Moura, *String–corrected dilatonic black holes in d dimensions*, Phys. Rev. **D83** (2011) 044002 [arXiv:0912.3051 [hep-th]].

[15] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, *Black Holes in Higher Dimensional Space-Times*, Annals Phys. **172** (1986) 304.

[16] A. Giveon, D. Gorbonos and M. Stern, *Fundamental Strings and Higher Derivative Corrections to d-Dimensional Black Holes*, JHEP **1002** (2010) 012 [arXiv:0909.5264 [hep-th]].

[17] L. Cornalba, M. S. Costa, J. Penedones and P. Vieira, *From Fundamental Strings to Small Black Holes*, JHEP **0612** (2006) 023 [hep-th/0607083].

[18] S. Kuperstein and S. Murthy, *Stringy effects in black hole decay*, JHEP **1011** (2010) 064 [arXiv:1008.0813 [hep-th]].